



# TRAFFIC SAFETY AND PARKING COMMITTEE MINUTES

Wednesday, July 11, 2018 - 7:00 pm

San Bruno City Hall  
567 El Camino Real  
San Bruno, CA 94066

## MINUTES

### 1. ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS -

#### A. ROLL CALL

<u>TSPC Members:</u>	<u>Present</u>	<u>Absent</u>
Tom Hamilton (Chair)	X	
Jessica Barnes-Lopez (Vice-Chair)	X	
John Giuseponi	X	
Marco Durazo		X

#### Staff in Attendance:

Michael Kato, Public Services Department  
Jimmy Tan, Public Services Department  
Michael Blundell, Police Department

Public in Attendance Total: 12

### 2. REVIEW OF AGENDA

Approved as submitted.

### 3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Motion to approve minutes for the May 2, 2018 meeting made by Tom Hamilton. Second by Jessica Barnes-Lopez. (M/Hamilton, S/Barnes-Lopez: 3-0-0) Approved.

### 4. PUBLIC COMMENT ON ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA

Lorry Greenberg, 2481 Fleetwood Drive, stated there are two problems along Commodore Drive from Cherry to the National Archives building: it is now difficult to find parking at Commodore Park, possibly due to overflow parking from Peninsula Place residents; and speeding is bad on Commodore since it was opened up to El Camino Real. She recommended asking the SFO Trade Center if City residents could use overnight parking there or authorizing “no overnight parking” at Commodore Park along Cherry.

Vince Elliot, 121-H Piccadilly Place, has lived at Peninsula Place since 1983. There is now a lot of high density housing in the area and parking has gotten worse over the years. There is one assigned parking space for each unit at Peninsula Place and they began permit parking on their property, so this created a lot of drivers going onto Cherry to park. There needs to be parking enforcement. Due to

YouTube, there is no parking on Cherry all day. People are also dumping their trash under 380. There is also a concern about pedestrian safety when crossing from Walmart to Bayhill Shopping Center. The parking at the former Navy Base is also very bad.

Tom Hamilton replied that the intersection at Cherry and Bayhill is currently being reviewed by City staff for reconfiguration.

Elizabeth Kunze, 1230 Claremont Drive, stated she is concerned about San Bruno Avenue and Glenview Drive. At 5 pm at night, cars are backed up and block the intersection so no one can get through the intersection. Can the City paint "do not block intersection" at this location?

Ron Carter, 1931 Earl Avenue, stated people coming from Skyline using Earl as a cut through driving 35-40 mph down the street. He would like stop signs installed.

Harriet Schafer, 1910 Earl Avenue, stated speeding on Earl is very bad. It is unsafe for the neighborhood.

Resident, Florida Avenue, stated on Huntington Avenue from Sylan to San Felipe there is a large number of oversized vehicles taking up a lot of parking. They also leave their debris behind. There should be some sort of limit there on the size of the vehicles and they should be ticketed.

## **5. REGULAR BUSINESS**

### **A. Establish All Way Stop Control at the Intersection of Huntington Avenue and Florida Avenue**

Michael Kato stated On July 1, 2017 the City was contacted by a resident who requested the establishment of all way stop control at the intersection of Huntington Avenue and Florida Avenue due to motorists speeding on Huntington Avenue.

Michael Kato reviewed existing street conditions, collision history, traffic volume, speed limits and speed study data on Huntington Avenue just north of the intersection with Florida Avenue with the Committee. Based on the data reviewed by staff, the criteria for establishing an all way stop are not met at this location. The California Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (CAMUTCD) Section 2B.04.05 states that "YIELD or STOP signs should not be used for speed control." Therefore although the data indicates there is some speeding occurring staff does not recommend installing STOP signs on Huntington Avenue as a means of controlling speeding. Staff recommends no action at this time.

Tom Hamilton asked if City installed bulb-outs could be placed at the intersection. It could cut down on speeding and enhance pedestrian safety.

### **PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD OPENED**

Mike Panigiris, 469 Huntington, stated the speed limit on Huntington is actually 25 mph, not 30 mph. He said speeding is very bad on Huntington. There are cars racing each other and traveling 45 to 50 mph. There needs to be more enforcement. This problem needs to be addressed. There are a lot of commercial vehicles and auto repair vehicles left on Huntington. There are too many people living in the houses on Huntington.

Bridget Malatesta, 105 Florida Avenue, stated there seems to be variables in when the speed data was collected. If it was taken during a school break it will not be accurate. When Caltrans put in the crosswalk, it used to lead to the train station but now it leads to a fence. People park in this crosswalk daily and it should be removed. A bulb out would upset residents because of the limited parking.

Resident, no name given, stated the City needs to be proactive instead of reactive. There is a 25 mph sign on Florida at Huntington. There would be a difference in the warrant criteria if it is 25 mph versus 30 mph. There needs to be a speed tracker installed and more enforcement. May a red curb should be installed at the intersection corners to improve safety if a stop sign is not warranted.

Mike Kato stated he will check the correct speed limit and verify the existing signage for accuracy.

Lorry Greenberg, 2481 Fleetwood Drive, asked if the traffic data study is one day.

Mike Kato confirmed it is one day. It is costly to perform a longer study. The City does have a portable speed sign that will be deployed to Huntington and this feedback may help us further evaluate the location.

### **PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD CLOSED**

Tom Hamilton made a motion to deploy the portable speed sign at the location of Huntington at Florida; increase speed enforcement at the location as available; and verify existing speed sign accuracy on Huntington for the speed study data. Second by John Giuseponi. (M/Hamilton, S/Giuseponi 3-0-0) Approved.

#### **B. Establish All Way Stop Control at the Intersection of Glenview Drive and Earl Avenue**

Michael Kato stated due to previous interest from residents the City had the engineering consulting firm TJKM conduct a study to evaluate the need for all way stop control at the intersection of Glenview Drive and Earl Avenue in 2016, but the criteria for an all-way stop were not met. Recent renewed interest in an all way stop has been expressed due to the two parks that will be opened in the vicinity of the intersection, so staff performed further analysis.

Michael Kato reviewed the existing street conditions, speed limits, collision history, and volume warrants with the Committee. Although no data is available for future conditions, staff anticipates the new parks will lead to increased pedestrian activity in the area, especially younger pedestrians, and has concluded that it will be desirable to control conflicts between vehicles and pedestrians at this location when the parks are completed. Because of this, staff recommends establishing all way stop control at the intersection of Glenview Drive and Earl Avenue.

Tom Hamilton stated the traffic counts show that this area is being used as a cut through.

John Giuseponi thanked staff for considering the safety of kids. A crosswalk should be installed to enhance safety.

Jessica Barnes-Lopez stated City Park still has no stop sign coming into the park near Santa Lucia.

Jimmy Tan replied the recreation center will be remodeled and this can be considered.

### **PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD OPENED**

Carolyn Gray, 1230 Claremont Drive, stated there is a four way stop at Claremont and Glenview and no one stops. They are going to be installing pavers to help drivers delineate the stop location. Installing stop signs is a waste of money.

Carolyn Wilson, 1171 Fairmont Drive, stated she does not think stop signs are a good idea. Bright crosswalks with blinking lights are a much better option. The proposed stop signs at this location will be very close to the existing stop signs.

Imelda Gomez, 960 Glenview Drive, stated she would like to see the stop signs installed. It is hard to exit our driveway because cars are coming too fast. If they are installed, there may be fewer drivers cutting through the neighborhood.

Kyle Wilson, 1171 Fairmont Drive, is not in favor of the stop signs. It will take a lot of gas to get up the hill if a stop sign is installed at this location.

Ron Carter, 1931 Earl Avenue, stated in the morning and evening the speeding is really bad. We can't get out of our driveway. He is in favor of stop signs.

### **PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD CLOSED**

John Giuseponi is in favor of stop signs to protect the children going to the parks in the neighborhood. Safety is paramount.

Jimmy Tan stated one option is to approve the stop signs and then the City Council can hear additional comments from the residents and decide whether or not to approve the installation.

Mike Kato stated we could investigate raised crosswalks or flashing beacons that could call attention to the location.

Jessica Barnes-Lopez stated that the majority of the residents do not want it. Maybe doing some safety measures that are less permanent would suffice for now.

Mike Kato stated there could be bulb outs installed on Earl and Glenview to shorten the crosswalks.

John Giuseponi asked if bulb outs are difficult to install. This would solve the safety issue.

John Giuseponi made a motion to request staff study the possibility of installation of bulb outs at the intersection corners of Earl and Glenview Drive. Second by Tom Hamilton. (M/Giuseponi, S/Hamilton: 3-0-0).

Tom Hamilton made a motion to request the of installation high density crosswalks at the intersection of Earl and Glenview Drive. Second by John Giuseponi. (M/Hamilton, S/Giuseponi: 3-0-0).

## **6. REPORT OF COMMISSIONS, BOARDS AND COMMITTEES**

None

## **7. COMMENTS FROM COMMITTEE MEMBERS**

John Giuseponi stated on the west side of Mastick Avenue between Angus Avenue and Taylor Avenue, there is a public parking lot behind the businesses. He requested a study be done to allow residents to use the lot in the evenings from 6 pm to 8 am.

Mike Kato believes there is a blanket parking policy for that location. He will research this.

Tom Hamilton asked if the Police Department is planning on doing another sweep similar to the ones in the past regarding sidewalk blocking by vehicles.

Michael Blundell responded there is not a sweep scheduled at this time. There are two parking enforcement CSO's and one that handles abandoned vehicles, so they are always citing for this whether they receive a resident call or note it themselves. We usually get about 20 calls per week and they handle it right away, but there are parameters we have to follow.

Tom Hamilton asked for an update on the installation of bots dots on Crystal Springs Road.

Mike Kato replied that the striping plan should be completed next week and the work order will go out shortly after that. They have 30 days to complete this.

Tom Hamilton stated the instructions on the City website for contacting the TSPC still has no email contact as an option; it still states they must write a letter. He requested this be corrected to offer residents the opportunity to email their concerns.

Mike Kato stated he will follow up with staff on this.

**8. COMMENTS FROM STAFF**

None

**9. ADJOURNMENT**

Motion: To adjourn the Traffic Safety and Parking Committee (TSPC) meeting until its next regular scheduled meeting on September 5, 2018 at 7 p.m. (M/Hamilton, S/Barnes-Lopez): 3-0-0 - Approved.  
Meeting adjourned, 8:32 pm.