



## Memorandum

<b>To:</b>	Matt Neuebaumer, Associate Planner
<b>From:</b>	Patrick Maley ICF International
<b>Date:</b>	December 8, 2017
<b>Re:</b>	<b>Bayhill Specific Plan EIR Scoping Meeting</b>

**Date:** Tuesday, December 5th, 2017 at 4:30 pm and 6:30 pm

**In attendance:** David Woltering (City of San Bruno); Matthew Neuebaumer (City of San Bruno); Heidi Mekkelson (ICF); Patrick Maley (ICF); Monica Szydlik (Dyett & Bhatia)

## Summary of Public Comments

- *Commenter A had general questions regarding the timeline for the plan and the EIR and about the project itself.*
- *Commenter A asked how the public could provide input on the alternatives.*
- *Commenter B asked general questions related to who was notified about the project and asked if the City had already received comments on the project and from whom.*
- *Commenter C had general questions about when and where to express their traffic concerns and at what point in the process. The commenter also expressed concern about the lack of signal lighting on Cherry and on Chestnut and was concerned that the project could increase traffic, making getting onto 280 South more dangerous.*
- *Commenter D asked that the team look at the Cherry/San Bruno Intersection, which was the subject of many complaints during the San Bruno Walk 'N Bike Plan outreach. The commenter expressed that this was a dangerous area where a pedestrian had been struck. The commenter also noted that the San Bruno Walk 'N Bike Plan calls for a bowtie on Cherry Avenue and a parking-protected bike lane. The commenter was concerned that more traffic and trips generated by the plan would mean it would be the less likely that those improvements would happen. The commenter also expressed a desire that You Tube would look into using parking policy, or a cash-out scheme (not bundled parking), to give employees the choice of not paying for parking if they are not going to use a car.*

- *Commenter E asked about potential housing types in the planning area.*
- *Commenter F expressed that he understood the EIR would address traffic on a program level, but asked if traffic would be analyzed in separate EIRs when individual projects are proposed. The Commenter also noted that he lives on Acacia, which has no stop signs and can be dangerous during commute hours. The commenter asked that the study hours for the traffic survey be extended an hour longer and also asked that El Camino also be analyzed in the traffic study.*
- *Commenter G expressed frustration at lack of specific information he was receiving and said he could not provide meaningful feedback without more specific information.*
- *Commenter A asked for clarification about the nature of the EIR, the difference between an EIR for a plan rather than for a project.*
- *Commenter B expressed confusion over what was driving the plan and who was negotiating it, the City or You Tube. The commenter went on to express support for the property owner as well as “smart” development and added that she would like to see economic vibrancy, but also fewer cars. The commenter requested that the companies incentivize their employee to not use cars and to take public transit. The commenter noted that, while the plan will increase intensification of property, the road capacity will not be increased and everything should be done to reduce road congestion. She spoke in favor of a public shuttle for area residents (not just employees).*
- *Commenter E suggested pedestrian bridges, specifically on Cherry over San Bruno, to allow employees to move about within the area without involvement with traffic. The commenter also expressed concern over the potential traffic impacts on neighboring residents as employees search for parking. The commenter wanted to make sure the construction took place within mandated city hours.*
- *Commenter B discussed the need for housing in San Bruno and expressed a preference for for-sale properties rather than apartments. The commenter expressed support for workforce housing for police, teachers, and fire fighters.*
- *Commenter B expressed support for fiber optic connection as well as the expansion of greenspace in the area and native vegetation.*
- *Commenter B asked the City if they could up the standard for construction waste diversion from the demolition sites.*
- *Commenter J asked if buildings built under the new plan would be LEED certified.*
- *Commenter D requested that any civic or community buildings, such as a community center or a library, be located closer to El Camino so they could be accessed easier by pedestrians.*