



## TRAFFIC SAFETY AND PARKING COMMITTEE MINUTES

Wednesday, April 6, 2016 - 7:00 pm

San Bruno City Hall  
567 El Camino Real  
San Bruno, CA 94066

# MINUTES

### 1. ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS -

#### A. ROLL CALL

<u>TSPC Members:</u>	<u>Present</u>	<u>Absent</u>
Jessica Barnes-Lopez (Chair)	X	
Mark Howard (Vice-Chair)	X	
Eric Wood	X	
Tom Hamilton	X	
Tim Ross	X	

#### Staff in Attendance:

David Wong, Public Services Department  
Jimmy Tan, Public Services Department  
Ryan Johansen, Police Department

Public in Attendance Total: 20

### 2. REVIEW OF AGENDA

Approved as submitted.

### 3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Approval of the March 2, 2016 meeting minutes made by Mark Howard, second by Tom Hamilton. (M/Howard, S/Hamilton: 5-0-0) Approved.

### 4. PUBLIC COMMENT ON ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA

None

### 5. REGULAR BUSINESS

- A. Receive Report Regarding Parking Restriction Survey in the Area Bound by Tanforan Avenue, San Mateo Avenue, Huntington Avenue, and I-380

David Wong stated this item was brought forward by residents that voiced concern over the lack of viable parking in this area. Parking occupancy surveys were conducted on July 15, 2015 and July 18, 2015. Parking is considered at capacity along these streets. At the August 2015 TSPC meeting,

567 El Camino Real, San Bruno, CA 94066-4299

Voice: (650) 616-7065 • Fax: (650) 794-1443

<http://publicworks.sanbruno.ca.gov>

residents asked City staff to evaluate the possibility of creating a parking restriction program to address this problem. A parking survey was hand delivered to all residences on these streets and the City received 70 responses, which is a 22% response rate. David Wong reviewed the existing parking conditions, the survey results, the suggested mitigation measures and a summary of ongoing resident comments regarding this issue with the Committee.

### **PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD OPENED**

M. Medlin, 43 Pacific, stated that for parking permit purposes, many property owners have more than 2 cars. If residents don't have a permit on additional cars, we will have to park a far distance from our homes. He has had no problem finding a parking space for many years.

Ingrid Carbajal, 36 Pacific, stated she is against permits.

Mayy Yaser, 1041 San Mateo Avenue business owner, stated there are a lot of cars left on the street from auto repair shops and a busy restaurant that has a lot of customers double parking. There should be time limited parking on the street with police enforcement; this would solve the problem. When their business was approved, building their own lot for the vehicles at the auto repair shop was required. The old auto repair businesses were not required to do this, but it should be changed.

Ruby Cvetan, 53 South Bayshore Circle, stated she is against having to pay for parking. The parking permit proposed hours do not match the neighborhood's needs. There has always been parking available.

Mike Schott, 1254 Montgomery Avenue, stated he is a 35 year business owner and the main problem is the business owners in the area. There are many vehicles that are left for two weeks at a time on the street. The City of South San Francisco does not allow cars on the street from 3 am to 5 am; San Bruno should do this as well. Once this is completed, residents will have plenty of parking. The police department should be ticketing and towing cars.

Angelo Bertolli, 1411 San Mateo Avenue, stated he has an auto body shop and he received a permit to run his business with limited parking. There is no other place to park your vehicles. There should be a parking garage built.

Griselda Gonzalez, 1289 Herman Avenue, stated this has been an ongoing problem. She is a business owner and pays for storage in another city to keep her business vehicles off the street. She stated the auto body shops park all their cars on their street. They dump their trash on the street as well. She calls the police if cars are parked over 72 hours. The City should require any business applicant to address where they are going to park their vehicles before their business application if approved.

Jorge Ramos, 1040 Montgomery Avenue, stated he is against leaving the problem the way it is. There is a problem with the commercial vehicles double parking and parking on the sidewalk and there is a lack of police presence. The auto repair shops are parking too many cars on the street and leave them for weeks. Parking permits are a good idea if there is no time limit for its use.

Rosie Stiltner, 1193 Herman Avenue, stated she sees the meter maids tagging cars on a regular basis. She also sees the same people park their cars all day to take BART to work. The auto shops are the biggest problem. The commercial vehicles and residential vehicles should be dealt with equally. There should be a fee free parking permit for residents and 2 hour timed parking for commercial vehicles. Building a parking lot would be an option.

### **PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD CLOSED**

Jessica Barnes-Lopez clarified that businesses would also be given the 2 per property parking permits. David Wong confirmed this. Residents or business owners would not be able to purchase additional permits.

Tom Hamilton stated a residential neighborhood in San Mateo with a similar issue started a no cost parking permit program for all the cars registered to the property with one visitor pass included. He stated a resident told him it works very well.

Jessica Barnes-Lopez asked if this is feasible with the volume of cars in this neighborhood.

David Wong responded that this must work with the amount of available parking in the neighborhood. If each home is given a large number of permits in a small area, it defeats the purpose.

Mark Howard stated the residents should have the priority in a residential neighborhood. Businesses are not complaining about residents taking their parking. The permit program would prevent BART parking and auto repair shop parking during enforceable hours.

David Wong stated there are some residents that live next to businesses on these streets, so the permit program cannot designate by neighborhood.

Tom Hamilton stated there should not be a fee for the parking permit. There is a concern about listing a time restriction for the permit parking. If it ends at 6 pm, the body shops will go move their cars until morning.

Jessica Barnes-Lopez stated if there is a 2 hour time limit for non-permitted vehicles, the body shops will just move their cars every two hours to another parking space.

Ryan Johansen stated the requirements could list how far the car has to be moved after the two hour time limit is up.

Resident Mr. Medlin asked when a decision will be made. Mark Howard responded there will be no decision made at this point; this is just an informational meeting for resident feedback. The TSPC recommendation will still need to be presented to the City Council.

Mike Schott, 1254 Montgomery Avenue, asked if the City has spoken to the business owners to see if a resolution can be discussed for their employee parking and excess vehicles.

Shonika Kumar, 4 Pacific Avenue, stated there was a car parked in front of her home that was tagged and was moved, but now it is back again. Ryan Johansen stated the City cannot prevent vehicles from parking in a certain location as long as it is not over 72 hours.

Rosie Stiltner, 1193 Herman Avenue, stated she would volunteer to go door to door with her neighbors to get a stronger response.

Ruby Cvetan, 53 Bayshore Circle, stated she would like future meeting notices mailed earlier.

Jorge Ramos, 1040 Montgomery Avenue, stated future notices should be sent in English and Spanish.

## **6. REPORT OF COMMISSIONS, BOARDS AND COMMITTEES**

None

**7. COMMENTS FROM COMMITTEE MEMBERS**

None

**8. COMMENTS FROM STAFF**

None

**9. ADJOURNMENT**

Motion: To adjourn the Traffic Safety and Parking Committee (TSPC) meeting until its next regular scheduled meeting on May 4, 2016 at 7 p.m. (M/Howard, S/Hamilton): 5-0-0 - Approved. Meeting adjourned, 8:00 pm.